

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,809	GRAY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: after-final

 (1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Antony Ng.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2006
Time: am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

proposed claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Vadner-741, Seidner-445
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agrees to amend claim 1 to recite that the fishing chair is "consisting of" the structure of claims 1-6. There is no suggestion in the prior art to have the chair consisting of all the elements recited in amended claim 1.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hugh B. Thompson II

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)